- 13:03:31 From Trent Rosenbloom : Please feel free to tweet. Use #25x5 as the hashtag.
- 13:07:35 From Susan Hull : Good day, glad to be hear and also support tweeting
- 13:12:53 From Jeff Williamson : More twitter... NLM = @NLM\_NIH, Vanderbilt = @vumcdbmi; Columbia @ColumbiaDBMI; AMIA = @AMIAinformatics
- 13:13:51 From Paul Biondich: I presume this entire conversation, given the context Kevin shared, is meant to focus on US-based documentation recommendations?
- 13:15:04 From Judy Murphy: Yes, the focus is US-based
- 13:15:06 From Sarah Rossetti : Yes focused on US Clinicians, given several problems related to doc burden are unique to US clinicians/US healthsystem
- 13:21:26 From Hartleben, Stephanie (ELS-HBE): Do the problems in the USCDI v2 include nursing problems or are they only medical problems?
- 13:21:49 From Richard Schreiber: Andy, is there a new definition for "Progress Note"? This has been a sticking point for Open Notes.
- 13:22:03 From Subha Airan-Javia : Great question Dick
- 13:22:07 From David Newman (he,his): What about problem lists?
- 13:22:32 From Michael Brody: I am a physican I spend 56 minutes (I timed it knowing that this session was happening today) on the phone on hold being transferred to get authorization for a CT for a patient in acute pain yesterday. Why does nobody ever talk about this burden on physicians. This is a common occurrence when pre auth is needed for a CT or MRI. The insurance will pay \$30 for the visit. It makes care burdensome and I spend more money to keep the lights on than I get reimbursed for things like this.
- 13:23:07 From Jesse Ehrenfeld : https://fixpriorauth.org/
- 13:23:09 From Ed Spitzmiller : shouldn't the problem lists be part of the note
- 13:23:44 From Bill Tierney: +1 @MichaelBrody
- 13:23:46 From David Newman (he,his): No problem lists are multi-specialty and persist over time and totally separate from progress notes.
- 13:25:23 From Ed Spitzmiller: the diagnosis and the data within the problem lists are part of the note
- 13:26:06 From David Newman (he,his): Problem lists may have different views based on who is looking at them. I really think they need to be 2 separate items.
- 13:26:17 From Subha Airan-Javia : Agree that the problem list should persist but it should update from the note. Should not require double documentation
- 13:26:35 From Subha Airan-Javia : Better EHR design would allow it to be more integrated into the documentation workflow
- 13:26:57 From David Newman (he,his): Not double, separate. Sounds as though this needs to be a separate discussion.
- 13:27:05 From Ed Spitzmiller : Agree, Subha
- 13:27:20 From David Newman (he, his): The eye doc does not need the dermatologist's problem list.

- 13:27:27 From David Newman (he,his): The family doc needs both.
  13:27:46 From Jackie Gerhart: @Ed Spitzmiller It depends on the audience for the note, which I know will be discussed at this symposium! My vote would be to leave things out of the note that can easily be seen elsewhere in the chart, and to adopt CMS 2021 E+M guidelines to reduce note boat, and to document on either MDM or time. Full problem lists sometimes have things you didn't discuss in the
- 13:27:48 From Subha Airan-Javia : I think it is possible to achieve we are both saying. :)

visit.

- 13:29:08 From Michael Brody: The problem list is relatively useless since problems are never resolved making it difficult to slog through and determining what is truly an active problem.
- 13:29:32 From David Newman (he,his): Indeed, there has to be curation of the list or it is worthless.
- 13:30:05 From David Bates: I think the problem list is essential. A lot of decision support is driven from it. But it should be set up so that it is much easier to curate
- 13:30:17 From Matt Sakumoto (UCSF): @Jackie/Ed for me the problem list should have "signout" (active issues whether specialist or PCP), and chronic stable issue. Epic has option to prioritize ("High, medium, low") but no one takes the time to do so (and there is not clear who the "owner" should be)
- 13:30:39 From Lori Best-Arizona: Is CMS looking at reducing documentation requirements for low dose CT scanning for lung cancer screening and advanced imaging clinical decision support? Both require providers to document many elements to schedule an advanced imaging test.
- 13:30:47 From A. Gettinger: ONC is working on a FAQ to further respond to some of the questions re: USCDI and IB
- 13:30:57 From christinesinsky: The ambiguity around what is actually required from CMS is a root cause of much of the problem. There is not one clear source of truth, so there are many regulatory myths circulating among the compliance professionals.
- 13:31:25 From Randall Grout: Curating and ownership of the problem list is (I think) a cultural issue and less a technical barrier 13:31:35 From David Bates: Low dose CT and mammography for example are instances in which there is essentially only one indication—that would make it really easy to streamline
- 13:31:43 From Helen Burstin : Agree @davidbates. We have been discussing issues with problem lists for so long. How do we curate and make it more useful?
- 13:31:47 From Jackie Gerhart : @Chris: Agree completely with myths from compliance professionals.
- 13:31:48 From Francis Chan: @Matt. I think when you prioritize that is to each individual user and does not carry over to other users. The "Chronic" pin does carry over.
- 13:31:49 From Michael Brody: How about mandatory reimbursement to a provider of at least \$100 for time and effort each time prior auth is required? Even it prior auth is denied drive industry through

## economics?

- 13:34:01 From David Bates: Less is more in terms of documentation. The notes in the U.S. are way too long as we have showed.
- 13:34:28 From Subha Airan—Javia: I would love to engage in a conversation about problem lists, that are focused around what we need for care, looking at it from the perspective of creating, cureating and reviewing the list, and being careful not to do it all within the box of a certain EHR vendor's capabilities.
- 13:34:58 From Michael Brody: We have a similar problem with medication lists.. How often is the list full of medications that the patient is not taking any more?
- 13:35:06 From Bill Tierney: The big problem is fee-for-service, which drives both utilization and need for documentation, prior auth, etc. Capitated payment would leave the appropriateness decisions at the level of the healthcare delivery system.
- 13:35:18 From Patty Sengstack : Compliance increases as volume of documentation deceases
- 13:35:48 From David Newman (he,his): England has a national standard for problem lists. I would love to be part of that discussion.
- 13:36:21 From Lori Best-Arizona: I agree with you David, but CMS requires additional documentation of counseling and patient understanding prior to ordering or the patient can't be scheduled for low dose CT scan. Providers also order low dose CT scan for non lung cancer screening.
- 13:36:56 From Emily Barey, Epic : @Patty agree, especially if you start with clinical competency and not use required documentation to teach new clinical skills
- 13:37:02 From Lynda Hoeksema: @David Newman. Yes, I think every organization interprets how problem lists are used and who can or cannot contribute to them differently. Perhaps benefit from standardization?
- 13:37:17 From Peter Smith: I was once almost fired for pointing out that professional auditors only agree 25% of the time on whether a billed chart is compliant.
- 13:37:21 From Michael Brody: Capitation has it's own set of problems. I do not believe it is better than Fee for Service. I participate in both fee for service and capitated plans.
- 13:37:29 From Cindy Russell: @patty that is what we are finding as you have more focus on delivery vs on documentation.
- 13:38:13 From Greg Alexander: Is there an effort to align documentation requirements across settings. For example, nursing homes and home health have very different types of documentation requirements based on differences in assessments and reporting requirements. Different documentation requirements makes it difficult to follow patients across these settings consistently, which has impacts on quality and safety.
- 13:39:05 From Matt Sakumoto (UCSF): @Subha re: problem lists, please reach out. I've worked at a couple of startups that are actively experimenting with this for homegrown EHRs, so we have a little more flexibility than the commercial shops

- 13:39:20 From Kevin Johnson: For Andy: do we think USCDI v2 is part of the problem or part of the solution. I look at adding Date of onset of problems has burdensome for many patients, as an example. Help us understand this!
- 13:39:21 From Francis Chan: I think it is easier to maintain Med Lists than Problem Lists...
- 13:41:01 From Jake Lancaster: Imagine how much money in healthcare could be saved if we could eliminate the medical coding and clinical documentation improvement departments that are necessary because of the complex documentation requirements. Satisfaction with the EHR would certainly improve if there were less CDI queries.
- 13:41:02 From Michael Brody: I would disagree when a patient comes in and I review the medication list they are often not able to confirm they are taking the med.. "I don't know I take a water pill"...
- 13:42:09 From Matt Sakumoto (UCSF): @Francis agree med lists are an absolute mess. Especially as an urgent care doc, the auto-pulled in stuff is very out of date and inaccurate
- 13:44:39 From A. Gettinger: @Kevin: I agree that we need to be very cautious about adding content to the USCDI process that's why we made it a very open & transparent process. Problem list is a great example of content that is used variably by different clinicians so "having the capability" is different than requiring it or how it is subsequently presented to another clinician or the patient
- 13:45:13 From Francis Chan: I guess depends on the lens. As a PCP maybe I have pruned the med lists over time. I find it easier to DC. The ones that are borderline are those PRNs that they take every once in a while.
- 13:45:38 From nantell: Excellent ...thank you all so far!
- 13:46:00 From Peter Smith: Exactly! We have found the same results with our team based Primary Care Redesign program at U. of CO across multiple domains. Give these tasks to the staff and it will happen. Providers can focus on patient's individual and complex needs
- 13:46:23 From Yalini Senathirajah : Is there a way to save the chat? It seems blocked for me.
- 13:47:10 From Amanda Moy (she/her) : Just a reminder: the best way to view the Q&A is through speaker view
- 13:47:16 From Kevin Johnson: @mary do you think the form of clinically relevant documentation will impact burden? If so how might CMS think through that aspect (forms versus structured data, versus text)
- 13:47:18 From Patty Sengstack: Problem lists are entered from several disciplines. this gets confusing too!
- 13:47:49 From Dr. Larry Ozeran: We seem to be spending a lot of time on reducing burden, but I have to ask, wouldn't it be better to spend time on eliminating burden? When you consider that clinical information is now digital and no longer on paper, we have not changed
- information is now digital and no longer on paper, we have not changed our administrative paradigm to take advantage of that transformation. We could eliminate turning clinicians into clerks, creating clinically unnecessary documentation, adding to note bloat, or spending time on hold if we reimagined our processes for a digital paradigm. What are

the barriers to simply eliminating documentation requirements for billing or quality and pulling the data administrators need from the record?

13:48:11 From christinesinsky: What about the burden of requirements for physicians to sign "reams and reams of PT, OT, Speech therapy forms". (I happened to just receive an email from a physician not involved in this conference asking this question.)

13:48:36 From Kevin Johnson: +1 Larry.

13:48:46 From Luann Whittenburg: Re: Documentation requirements. Different documentation requirements, specifically different code sets for billing and interoperability, makes accelerating health information exchange challenging

13:48:54 From Susan Hull: Patient engagement in problem list reconciliation needed as patients move across encounters and care settings like advanced hospital care in the home

13:49:04 From Sherri Hess Banner Health: struggles on which problem to select and who owns ensuring it's accurate

13:49:07 From Michael Brody: The first improvement would be to link each problem in a problem list to the provider who added that problem to the list who is managing the problem.

13:49:12 From nantell: Exactly !! Still is an issue today !! No one maintains it or updates it and therefore becomes a cumbersome tool 13:49:25 From Michele Berg: Problem lists that are not accurate hinder nursing care delivery., but so do notes/ I can't say how many times my doc notes are not accurate and I need to remind them to change their notes. I understand they are busy, but it really hurts care that is delivered overnight when there is not a physician readily available.

13:49:50 From Susan McBride: Very much agree that Electronic Clinical Quality Measures and the lifecycle of those measures is a real problem and often does not reinforce quality. Yet, the US clearly has quality and cost issues with many worldwide measures of evaluating quality/ cost of care. So, my question is, how do we address accountability as an industry on cost, safety and quality? Is it a measures develop and execution issue?

13:50:06 From Subha Airan-Javia: @larry agree. Though I would offer that there is still paper everywhere. We spend way too much time writing information on paper and transcribing it back and forth between different places.

13:50:10 From Michael Brody: By linking the problem to the person who is managing it, we then make somebody accountable for 'curating' that problem.

13:50:20 From Subha Airan-Javia : Also agree that we need to redesign how we chart based on what is capable in an digital world

13:50:56 From Dr. Larry Ozeran : IF we eliminate requirements, then we don't need to align them

13:50:57 From Pete Stetson: As we change some of the burden around required content (and we'll have to start developing some new tools to handle patient—generated health data), we also need to make it easier to record our clinical thinking. We like to talk with our colleagues

to coordinate care — how about talking with the EHR?

13:51:06 From Michael Brody: There needs to be more provenance in the record — especially when being shared across care settings.

13:51:07 From Susan Hull: Aligning documentation requirements key for safe care transitions, e.g. the PACIO project

13:51:36 From Paul Fu, Jr.: http://pacioproject.org/

13:51:44 From Patty Sengstack: does CMS have an actual count of the number of quality measures that must be documented and reported? just wondering so we can get a baseline

13:51:57 From Susan Hull: http://pacioproject.org

13:52:06 From Paul Fu, Jr. : +1 michael brody

13:52:08 From jeff wall : Paul Fu, long time no talk :-)

13:52:40 From Jake Lancaster: There is also the issue of the need of marking the problem list, med list, allergies as reviewed for meaningful use. Is this really necessary? If we are adding problems, meds etc. Shouldn't that indicate that we reviewed those lists?
13:52:50 From Peter Smith: @christinesinki - yes these certification signatures are a huge zero-value problem, likely only create barriers to timely care. Brings up larger question that much of provider documentation is designed to prevent fraud (a real problem but much less expensive than our massive regulatory infrastructure) and cost shifting.

13:53:09 From Laura Fochtmann: Can we also align behavioral health and hospital standards? The fact that a psych unit in a hospital has very burdensome requirements that go far beyond the burden in the rest of the hospital is a long-standing issue and behavioral health stakeholders clearly want duplicate documentation and formal interdisciplinary treatment planning eliminated.

13:53:42 From Paul Biondich: Has anyone analyzed the contents of international notes referred to in Kevin's earlier slide? Is it a minimum data set?

13:54:26 From Paul Biondich : Why not simply learn from the efforts of others?

13:55:09 From christinesinsky: @PeterSmith: Physicians have been involuntarily conscripted into the enforcement arm of payers to prevent fraud by other providers. Is this appropriate use of physician resources? Are MDs the proper people to police PTs, OTs, etc/

13:55:17 From Paul Biondich : +1 for repatriation of innovation lok 13:55:19 From Paul Biondich : lol

13:55:48 From David Bates: We counted the number of quality metrics too—didn't come up with quite as many as Brent, but it is far too many. In Massachusetts we established something called the Mass Hospital Quality Partnership which has been very successful. It standardized the main quality metrics across the state across payers and this dramatically reduced the burden (but it is still too big). When I was CQO at BWH we were sending metrics to ~35 organizations. Some federal coordination here would be really helpful.

13:55:49 From Michael Brody: I am wondering how many people in this conversation are actually clinicians that experience the burden 13:56:45 From Paul Fu. Jr.: +1 David

```
13:57:04 From Jake Lancaster : @Michael I'm an internist. I recognize several physicians on the thread
```

13:57:05 From Paul Fu, Jr. : Especially metrics that are mostly similar with subtle denominator or numerator differences

13:57:07 From Peter Smith: It is analogous to the movement to remove strings from international aid, and just give poor people money. Much better outcomes at lower costs. The financial and opportunity costs and burnout costs of the compliance regime is way more expensive than avoided fraud. We should have smarter ways to deal with fraud than we have currently.

13:57:10 From Laura Fochtmann: The new changes for outpatient are VERY confusing in terms of the medical complexity based documentation. For people who cover inpatient and outpatient, the difference in medical complexity documentation requirements are even more confusing.

13:57:15 From Susan Hull: +1 David a model to iterate from

13:57:21 From A. Gettinger: @DavidBates — that was one of the recommendations that we made in the Burden Report — hoping the next administration prioritizes that work too

13:58:34 From christinesinsky: Brent James' design issue concept could be translated into a confusion of quality assurance and quality improvement. His left hand pathway is QA, the right hand pathway is OI.

13:58:47 From Francis Chan: @Laura. Totally agree. No changes to IP vs. the new 2021 update simplified changes means still remembering both systems.

13:58:58 From David Bates: In terms of reducing the documentation burden, though, I think the key steps are 1) simplify the billing requirements (underway, the new ones are MUCH better), 2) set up better interfaces for clinicians to document, 3) leverage AI to help docs out, 4) consider one can be done with new modalities like recording conversations in some instances

14:00:22 From David Newman (he, his): Nursing has had a bad documentation burden going back to paper charts.

14:00:40 From Matt Sakumoto (UCSF): To be fair I think the nurses have had a high clerical burden at baseline though

14:00:44 From Subha Airan-Javia : absolutely. need more collaborative, dynamic documentation

14:00:44 From Melissa Manley: 100% agree, we actually are contracted by the union to decrease nursing documentation

14:00:46 From Patricia Dykes : +1 Sharon-- more research on nursing documentation burden

14:00:59 From Paula Wolski BWFH: Would agree, think nursing has not been as vocal regarding this subject, but I hear it every day.

14:01:22 From A. Gettinger: Per a few requests: https://www.healthit.gov/topic/usability-and-provider-burden/strategy-reducing-burden-relating-use-health-it-and-ehrs

14:01:23 From nantell: Need more BMDI, Verbal transcription into flowsheets and use of AI for all clinicians

14:01:43 From Michele Berg : Nurses are getting killed with Covid , the burden has to stop now! Many good nurses are leaving the bedside

in droves!

14:01:44 From Cindy Russell: nursing document move in the EHR than any other discipline. Ask to capture data for others, information that has nothing to do with nursing practice... such as tracking patient belongings

14:01:47 From Kelly Resco-Summers, DNP, RN [AWS]: the burden of documentation is no just on physicians, the burden on nursing has been extensive since the 90's. it is not just an EHR issue >> actually the EHR just made it visible. (on paper, nursing completed much of the 'documentation' that was endorsed/attested to by the provider through a simple signature (much of which was suddenly deemed inappropriate in an electronic world)

14:01:51 From Susan Hull: Surprising Sharon — all of my experiences have supporting reducing the clinical documentation for all clinicians, and the impact on the care team as a whole. Eager to Hear if you considered alternatives to leverage forms of team documentation to compliment and reduce the burden for all

14:01:57 From Paul Fu, Jr. : ty Andy

14:01:59 From Vicky Tiase: +1 Paula – we also need mechanisms for nurses to voice their concerns re documentation

14:02:15 From Francis Chan : Yes cringe at a hard stop...

14:02:43 From Patty Sengstack: give 4 million nurses an hour back and watch care be transformed

14:02:44 From Stephen Essenburg : Amen!

14:02:48 From jeff wall: until the inpatient and outpatient documentation requirements are harmonized, there will continue to be confusion from providers who work in both venues. Moreover they will not change their "learned" habits until they are harmonized. Easier to just continue what you are doing than try to figure it all out by venue. Not to mention re-learning how to document clinically and "billingly" relevant notes ...

14:02:50 From Paulette B. Fraser: This is such an important discussion for the future of nursing documentation.

14:03:02 From Susan Hull : +1 Patty!

14:03:16 From Steph Hoelscher: +2 Patty!

14:03:19 From Francis Chan : Alignment of incentives is the hardest thing to accomplish

14:03:20 From Paul Fu, Jr. : @Jeff and making the electronic systems support that too

14:03:44 From Richard Schreiber: We are on "disaster documentation" standards for nurses...it's more than adequate. Why can't the standard all the time be "disaster" level documentation, and proceed to reduce documentation burden further from that level??

14:03:45 From Greg Alexander: There should be some consideration about the effect of new data forms (i.e. longitudinal sensor data to recognize change in condition on LTPAC) that are being integrated into the EHR in some settings. With novel technologies that are capable of collecting and reporting data about people 24/7 there becomes a great burden on clinicians to interpret and react to these new data forms in a timely, effective way. How does big data impact burden?

```
14:03:54 From Paula Wolski BWFH : +3 Patty
```

14:04:51 From Laura Fochtmann : Agree that "disaster documentation" levels might be a good starting point.

14:05:20 From Steph Hoelscher: And as a data base, there needs to be clean data. Do no contribute to the dirty data in the EHR.

14:05:25 From Michele Berg : Surge documentation really helped us operationalize reduction during Covid times

14:05:39 From David Newman (he,his): The main point seems to be that we need to figure out what data adds value and getting rid of everything that does not.

14:05:46 From Kelly Resco-Summers, DNP, RN [AWS] : this is a data insights problems.....and EHRs are not designed to address insights

14:05:46 From Vicky Tiase: +1 Greg - we need to leverage active and passive PGHD from sensors, wearables, phys. monitors, etc.

14:05:47 From Rebecca Freeman: In my experience, a lot of the willingness to cut nursing documentation from the record rests on the partnership of CNOs and their regulatory partners at a given facility. It is scary for some to remove items that have been in the record for a long time. They may not be tied to a reg (or maybe they ARE tied to a safety event from 15 years ago)...but you have a have a pretty brave crew to be willing to sign off on pulling out large chunks of the nursing documentation record. Even if they are pretty sure those chunks provide no value and are never reviewed again.

14:05:49 From Susan Hull : +1 Greg

14:06:16 From Greg Alexander: +1 David

14:06:17 From Peter Smith: @richard - AGREE - similar to the freeing up of virtual care in the pandemic. COVID is exposing a host of absurdities that if eliminated will be transformative. Thanks to 25x5 for taking the global view beyond providers.

14:06:26 From Cindy Russell : COVID has allowed us to think about what can be cut back, we need to be careful not to just add back..

14:06:35 From Sandy Cho (she/her/hers): Agree around the surge/disaster reductions... all came due to the CMS waiver which speaks to me that we need those in that space to understand the impact their requirements are having to people

14:06:37 From Chad Carroll : @rebecca 100% agree

14:06:52 From Yalini Senathirajah : +1 Sandy

14:06:54 From Trish Bourie: When you read the CMS document for this COVID emergency, nurses were excused from Care Plans. Is that really where the burden is on a daily basis?

14:07:00 From Paula Wolski BWFH : 2+ Sandy

14:07:02 From Vicky Tiase: @Rebecca - great point. Need to work on ridding the culture of 'if it wasn't documented, wasn't done'

14:07:19 From christinesinsky: Practice and Policy Reset Post COVID, Health Affairs article. https://www.healthaffairs.org/doi/full/10.1377/hlthaff.2020.00612

14:07:20 From Peggy White: @rebeca yes great point

14:07:21 From Michele Berg : If it was documented , it may not have been done!

- 14:07:25 From Kelly Resco-Summers, DNP, RN [AWS] : +1 Vicky! (esp in quality and legal departments)
- 14:07:49 From Christine Suchecki : Documentation does not drive practice either
- 14:07:59 From Matt Sakumoto (UCSF): Is there any representatives from Compliance on this call?
- 14:08:01 From Kelly Resco-Summers, DNP, RN [AWS] : +1 Michele (esp, things like "bathroom offered q 2hrs" !! none value add to care 14:08:14 From Laura Fochtmann : A lot of our nursing documentation burden comes from one-off "corrective actions" either required by Joint Commission or others after an adverse outcome, with absolutely no evidence that "corrective action" would have made any difference if it had been in place in preventing the event.
- 14:08:15 From christinesinsky: "If it can be templated, it probably shouldn't be documented." Low value note bloat relates to smart phrases and templates.
- 14:08:32 From Paul Fu, Jr.: Not that I disagree with decreasing data documentation burden (because I suffer the pain also), but it will impact data science discovery opportunities
- 14:08:46 From Kelly Resco-Summers, DNP, RN [AWS] : +1 Laura ...so much!
- 14:08:53 From Richard Schreiber: ...and let's keep in mind that burdensome documentation to prove that you did something means you don't have time to do it! Talk about unintended consequences!! 14:08:56 From Susan McBride: It is important that we generate consensus on what constitutes crisis documentation standard for across all care settings. Also, agree this is a place to start with in terms of what can be eliminated.
- 14:08:56 From Ann Russell: Agree! As much as we try to reduce unnecessary documentation for nursing, we get requests from nurses to add documentation fields to serve as reminders or "ticklers" to complete tasks and assessments
- 14:08:58 From Rebecca Freeman: When we talked about releasing nursing notes as part of our new info-releasing initiatives I said, "That's great! 5,000 versions of, "Pt to CT via stretcher..." for the patient to review!:)
- 14:09:05 From Paul Fu, Jr. : @Kelly bet that one has to do with some quality measure related to an event
- 14:09:06 From Michael Brody: changes in 'if it was not documented it was not done' requires changes to the 'medical legal' landscape.
- 14:09:09 From jeff wall: there is great conversation in this chat, but I am having a hard time listening to the presenters and reading the chat... will the chat be saved and sent out afterward so we can review it?
- 14:09:24 From Kelly Resco-Summers, DNP, RN [AWS] : @paul for sure! 14:09:47 From Sandy Cho (she/her/hers) : @Rebecca Freeman ... LOL... that made me laugh... the 5000 versions of Pt to CT...
- 14:09:50 From A. Gettinger: +1 @Jeff wall
- 14:09:58 From nantell: Interface patient documented information into the EMR not only during the OP environment but into the IP

## enviornment

- 14:10:13 From Don Asmonga: @Andy. What are the reasons Clinical Notes went from 8 to 5 from USCDI V1 to USCDI V2?
- 14:10:35 From Sarah Rossetti : Yes chat will be saved!
- 14:11:19 From mburk : might have been asked already. is mtg being recorded and sent out and or decks to be sent?
- 14:11:19 From A. Gettinger: @Don based on community feedback & moving lab/rad notes to a different category
- 14:11:28 From Michele Berg : Sad to say I remember this trifold shhet
- 14:11:48 From mburk : trifold is used for downtime documentation ofent
- 14:12:06 From Aimee Brecht-Doscher: Would be great if those quality measures and Joint Commission requirements would have to have evidence
- that they make a difference before we would be required to meet them. So many are just created in order to have a requirement, not because they are evidence based, but then detract from what is truly important
- they are evidence based, but then detract from what is truly important for patient care.
- 14:12:06 From Sarah Rossetti : Yes we are recording and will make available
- 14:12:19 From christinesinsky: "If it wasn't documented, it wasn't done" is a toxic victim to safe care. Consider instead "The Map is not the Territory." A map (a note) attempting a 1:1 to reality (care delivered) is unmanageable. https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736(16)00338-X/fulltext
- 14:12:34 From Francis Chan: The GROSS efforts is so important. It made sense when implemented but need to avoid "this is how we have always done it" mentality.
- 14:12:41 From Richard Schreiber: +1 Aimee!! Yes; evidence-based documentation
- 14:13:11 From Laura Fochtmann: @Rebecca @Sandy we have thousands of nursing "notes" for "patient turned". And lots of others that say "PRN administered: Yes" but no info as to what PRN it was or why. 14:13:19 From Rebecca Freeman: We had a 26-day downtime that started last October. The trifold emerged from someone's locker \*very\* quickly.
- 14:13:25 From Wm Dan Roberts: ... and in most instances, when time is returned to nurses, that time is now taken back to do some other administrative, non-patient value—added activity, which may or may not be new documentation
- 14:14:24 From Susan Hull: Sherri, would value your perspectives on the relationship between cognitive burden and situational awareness. Are you deploying any strategies to lift up situational awareness for care team partners and the patient
- 14:14:28 From Kat Collard : Frequently said doctors don't read nursing documentation!

- 14:15:06 From David Newman (he,his): I never looked at the graphs I always read the narrative.
- 14:15:10 From christinesinsky: For step by step guidance on Getting Rid of Stupid Stuff, please see this STEPS FORWARD module on the same, written by Melinda Ashton. All of these modules are completely open access; all free. https://edhub.ama-assn.org/steps-forward/module/2757858
- 14:15:48 From Susan Hull : +1 Rebecca, ohh what you learned during these 26 days
- 14:16:06 From Laura Fochtmann: As a psychiatrist, we used to read our nursing notes ALL the time in the paper world because they actually gave a 1 paragraph quick picture of how the patient was actually doing.
- 14:16:11 From Michael Brody: What needs to be documented will vary based upon the clinical focus (specialty) of the person doing the documentation.
- 14:16:30 From Chad Carroll: @Rebecca many lessons learned from your experience, thanks for sharing
- 14:16:32 From Kelly Resco-Summers, DNP, RN [AWS]: the docs were the ones at my large trauma center that insisted that nursing bring back the end of shift summary note in the EHR == only thing they read.
- despite the thousands of entries in flowsheets, forms, care plans, etc.
- 14:16:34 From Michele Berg : HAs anyone here ever heard of linen change as a requirement? Isn
- 14:16:53 From Peggy White: In Canada we have the same issue nurses don't want to let go of some of the things they document.
- 14:16:58 From Deb Peter: No, we are not documenting linen change.
- 14:17:05 From Lynda Hoeksema : Let's not forget all we have learned because of COVID!
- 14:17:14 From jeff wall: I usually read nurse notes, frequently that was how I figured out what happened over night, esp. if I was making rounds late and missed shift change when I could ask the night nurses directly
- 14:17:16 From Steph Hoelscher: @Sherri Hess, definitely a big no lol 14:17:16 From Melissa Manley: Linen change used to be required daily, now it is when deemed 'dirty' to decrease cost.
- 14:17:27 From Patty Sengstack: We are not documenting linen changes but are documenting patient valuables
- 14:17:36 From Kevin Johnson: #COVIDLife?
- 14:17:52 From llaking : care plans??
- 14:17:53 From Deb Peter: We are trying to no longer expect nurses to document standard care that is expected for all patients.
- 14:17:58 From Sarah Visker: @patty we also removed patient valuables
- 14:18:01 From mburk : document extensions of self versus pt valuables
- 14:18:16 From Laura Fochtmann: Now we read the nursing shift hand-off report and don't bother with the nursing chart notes.
- 14:18:22 From nantell: Why are care plans needed for every patient especially with short stays. Look at the reality of requirement of

```
care plans for patients in hospitals less than 48 hours
```

- 14:18:22 From Sandy Cho (she/her/hers): @Patty S ... same with belongings and valuables. struggles. I think HCA did something to remove this from nursing, if I remember correctly.
- 14:18:54 From Cindy Russell: @Patty and the documenting patient valuables have contribution nothing to nursing practice or outcome.. but the risk manager wants it to remain. sigh
- 14:19:23 From Michele Berg : @Patty and @Sandy we have a very big list for belongings
- 14:20:21 From Luann Whittenburg: Releasing nursing notes reminds of other uses to for nurse documentation such as for evidence—based practice analytics and to predict and deploy nursing resources
- 14:20:26 From Susan Hull: Thanks Kendrick for starting this DEI conversation and what if we approached 25X5 solutions from the person/patient perspective
- 14:22:10 From Kelly Resco-Summers, DNP, RN [AWS] : @michele >>> the dentures and hearing aids! in some orgs we had to document their safety in transfer from floor to floor!
- 14:22:11 From Michael Brody: Patient perspective I have patients walk into my office each day with their MD degree they earned from the internet with huge amounts of mis—information. Any patient generated information in the EHR has to be properly attributed to help those reviewing the information can process it with the proper filters.
- 14:22:48 From Wheattle-Paul, Novlett A. (ELS-HBE): Thanks so much for this discussion/platform around DEI. So needed
- 14:23:33 From SC-Bob Stevens : Ad education on that last bullet
- 14:23:51 From Kevin Johnson: @Bob YES.
- 14:24:30 From Richard Schreiber: whatever the content, provenance is always key; PGD is fine, even if it's full of "huge amounts of mis—information." but at least I'd know the source
- 14:25:29 From Michael Brody: Richard I agree 100% I do not have a problem with PGD it is a problem with lack of attribution and often that attribution can get lost when sharing information from one EHR system to another.
- 14:26:14 From David Newman (he, his): Transgender is essential or at least some way to know what organs exist in which patient.
- 14:26:17 From Vicky Tiase: +1 Dick that misinformation can provide a lot of information, esp. related to DEI
- 14:26:21 From Bill Tierney: @Michael: Pts who come with internet—driven knowledge, even if wrong, are actively seeking information, which is GOOD. So I congratulate them for that, reinforce it, and then say, "There is a lot of bad info out there, and I can't possibly have the time to look at every website." And I refer them to sites that I trust and ask them to look there for good information. Then we can talk.
- 14:26:25 From Kevin Johnson: many of our front line staff are uncomfortable recording race, and even more uncomfortable asking the patient to clarify it.
- 14:27:19 From Peter Smith: Great tool to use the record to help reorient us to our common humanity, the Humanism Pocket Tool from the VA

in LA:

- 14:27:21 From Yalini Senathirajah : sometimes patients are also uncomfortable being asked those things, esp. now
- 14:27:22 From Peter Smith: https://www.annfammed.org/content/annalsfm/16/5/467.full.pdf
- 14:27:32 From Helen Burstin: Definitely need to build pt characteristics into electronic systems. In the meantime, how can we use indirect assessment of SDOH/place (e.g., census, pop data) if improvement is the end goal
- 14:27:47 From Laura Fochtmann: From a family member perspective, valuables aren't a minor thing. This is especially true for things like dentures, eyeglasses, and hearing aids. They can't just be sent home with family but when they get lost during transfers, the patient is hugely disadvantaged in terms of their care (if they can't hear/see/eat) and the costs of replacement and timeliness of replacement can be prohibitive.
- 14:27:50 From Michael Brody: (Bill I) am not saying it is not good. It spurs conversation but I am saying that when it is documented the next person reading the documentation needs to know the attribution of the data.
- 14:28:06 From Sandy Cho (she/her/hers): Thanks Kenrick! I was not expecting DEI and much appreciated. There's much to be done in these sectors also. And then the impact it has to the healthcare workers on documentation to ensure equity for patients by not identifying the immigration status/undocumented individual. ReAL is so important to achieve much of these goals and yet uncomfortable bc of the unintended consequences.
- 14:28:31 From Andy Phillips : When I visit a doctor the visit is recorded
- 14:28:52 From Andy Phillips : At least for my primary care
- 14:29:28 From Kat Collard: linen change likely came from a patient complaint that their linen was not changed. so it gets added to prove it was done. I'm not in agreement in it just sharing how it likely got there
- 14:33:40 From Kelly Resco-Summers, DNP, RN [AWS] : I would challenge the assumption that poor outcomes would e due to "disaster documentation" in any post assessment
- the system is in crisis ...... lets make sure to take a systems view of this event
- 14:34:25 From David Newman (he,his): There are times where paper is easier and then scan the paper.
- 14:34:55 From Kelly Resco-Summers, DNP, RN [AWS] : +1 David --- it's a use interface issue as much as a doc issue
- 14:35:01 From Kat Collard : considering dictating notes for nursing.
- 14:35:03 From Julia Adler-Milstein: There is an empirical way to do this too actually measure how often different information is viewed, which can be done w/ EHR audit data.
- 14:35:07 From Rebecca Freeman : On patient belongings: a really smart

```
nursing leader told me once that if a patient says we lost their dentures, glasses, etc. we will end up replacing their dentures, glasses, etc. That the patient belongings list doesn't actually protect you from anything — and as a leader, she removed it from the record. That always stuck with me.
```

- 14:35:09 From Francis Chan: Do any organizations use predominantly touchscreens? Are those better than just keyboard/mouse combination?
- 14:35:13 From Susan Hull: +1 Julia
- 14:35:29 From Kevin Johnson: +1 Brent
- 14:35:35 From Vicky Tiase: @andy and @kat agree, looking forward to seeing voice as the norm.
- 14:35:35 From Subha Airan-Javia: @David I would argue that paper often seems easier because the design of our digital systems has not lived up to what we need it to be
- 14:35:51 From David Newman (he, his): I have a touchscreen computer and I find the keyboard better.
- 14:35:52 From Kelly Resco-Summers, DNP, RN [AWS] : +1 Julia we did a similar study >> and leaders were still unwilling to remove fields that were vary rarely used.....it's a cultural issue as much as a technology one
- 14:36:09 From David Newman (he,his): Also agree that if the interface were better then the electronic chart would be better.
- 14:36:09 From Chad Carroll: I'm hopeful predictive analytics can continue to grow as a way to help reduce cognitive burden
- 14:36:12 From Cindy Russell : @rebeccea so true
- 14:36:24 From Susan Hull: We can intentionally design in Team based real time situation awareness for patient safety, complication surveillance, care coordination and shared outcome evaluation 14:36:41 From Cindy Russell: How are we addressing SDOH in the record?
- 14:37:03 From Subha Airan-Javia: Agree Susan. Looking forward to sharing the work we have done in that area! Need less walls between disciplines in the EHR
- 14:37:16 From Kat Collard: @Vicky and @Andy short status of patient care/status using handheld device.
- 14:37:42 From Vicky Tiase: +1 Kat
- 14:37:53 From Lynda Hoeksema: We have been looking at the initial clinical use of this data as we talk about documentation (primary purpose), But as data and real world evidence use increases, how do we ensure we create "meaningful" documentation for multiple possible uses?
- 14:37:57 From Wheattle-Paul, Novlett A. (ELS-HBE): Way to go Kendrick 14:38:01 From nantell: Will we be bringing the top three EMR Corp's into these Roundtable discussions?
- 14:38:11 From Vicky Tiase: +1 Kenrick!
- 14:38:11 From Subha Airan-Javia: So much great conversation in the chat and I'm having a hard time keeping up. Will it be possible to get the chat transcription afterwards?
- 14:38:14 From Kelly Resco-Summers, DNP, RN [AWS] : +1 Kenrick Cato on SDOH!!!!!!

```
14:38:20 From Subha Airan-Javia : What does that mean to you Kenrick?
Would love an example of what you mean
14:38:24 From Laurie Novak (she/her) : YES Kenrick!
14:38:27 From Francis Chan: I think the challenges with multiple
assessments such as SDOH, ACEs, Development etc and there is a
significant overlap in the different tools and there is a challenge as
to which visits and who completes all the assessments.
14:38:39 From Michael Brody : I have seen system generated notes
generated by EHR systems that are internally contradictory — one thing
in the generated note and one in the narrative from the EHR user.
has anybody else ever run into that?
14:38:43 From Susan Hull : Would be helpful for all of us to get CHAT
transcription between sessions
14:38:50 From Kelly Resco-Summers, DNP, RN [AWS] : SDOH is yet another
abstraction which can be a playground for bias!
14:38:54 From Michele Berg : SDOH and Populomics data.
14:38:56 From Vicky Tiase: SDOH must be more than just collecting
another data point.
14:39:07 From Paul Fu, Jr. : @nantell I see Cerner physicians on the
participant list
14:39:18 From Subha Airan-Javia : @Michael Brody - all the time.
problem (one of them at least) is that we have the write the same
information in so many diff places. So there are naturally
contradictions because we cant Keep it ALL updated
14:39:22 From Amanda Moy (she/her) : +1 @vicky tiase
14:39:29 From Holly Pollex : Absolutely need to drive to a LPOC
14:39:34 From David Newman (he, his) : I have also seen contradictory
notes - esp doctors and nurses saying different things - or one doctor
contradicting another.
14:39:56 From Bonnie Adrian : patient self-report should be part of
the solution. we should not shift doc burden to patients, though.
right size the capture and frequency of patient goals and
characteristics too.
14:40:00 From nantell : Paul Fu...thank you :)
14:40:11 From Ruth Schleyer: That's why it's a plan!! LPOC is
essential!
14:40:15 From nantell : Is this Paul Fu from YNHH ?
14:40:29 From Jackie Gerhart : @nantell, I'm a physician at Epic.
Emily Barey is a nurse at Epic, and we have a couple of User Design
experts (usability experts) from Epic on the call too.
14:40:37 From Bill Tierney : SDOH data are problematic for two
reasons: 1) there aren't widely accepted coding standards yet; and 2)
until health care providers can deal with problems by referring to
social care providers the way we refer to specialists, with
bidirectional flow of information, then SDOH data show problems that
just frustrate clinicians.
14:40:37 From Steven Magid : All of the above
14:40:41 From Bonnie Adrian : I would vote different for MDs vs
```

14:40:46 From Paul Fu, Jr. : @nantell nope! from City of Hope,

```
previously LA County
14:40:54 From Susan Hull: +1 Ruth!
14:41:00 From David Newman (he,his) : I have heard it described as
Social *Drivers* of Health rather than determinants
14:41:09 From Jessica Schwartz (she/her) : @Subha yes we will be
saving the chat
14:41:30 From Michael Brody : Ok we have issues with the Medication
LIst, The problem list, issues with attribution, contradictory
statements in the medical recode..
                                     Can we consider the EHR a 'source
of truth' for medical decision making?
14:41:37 From Subha Airan-Javia : I would offer that the regulatory
and reimbursement requirements could be better met with BETTER design
and usability, without adding so much (if at all) to burden
14:41:48 From Subha Airan-Javia : But also agree should rethink
requirements:)
14:42:18 From Susan McBride : the larger question is not electronic
documentation burden but "what constitutes quality clinical
documentation. In all of the burden discussions related to the EHR we
don't address that question that history of evaluating quality of
documentation and instruments to do so are largely lacking. So, we
need to ask what is the fundamentals of quality documentation that
ties to essential documentation.
14:42:25 From Kelly Resco-Summers, DNP, RN [AWS] : self-imposed also
included inaccurate interpretation of reg/reimbursement requirements
(one auditor, says one thing, and suddenly its a documentation
requirement in the record)
14:42:25 From Laura Fochtmann : We need to make sure that SDOH don't
get used to propagate even greater health disparities. There is
unfortunately ample evidence that seemingly well intentioned
algorithms/initiatives have made disparities worse.
14:42:29 From Patricia Dykes : A lot of documentation requirements are
due to "perceived" regulatory requirements (may or may not be
accurate) so I think what we impose on ourselves is greater burden
14:42:52 From Kenrick Cato (he/him) : @Patti great point
14:42:52 From Susan Hull : I would recommend we consider the role of
registries and how we can leverage interoperable data exchange with
clinical documentation and how this might be an approach to reduce the
burden
14:42:54 From Subha Airan-Javia : @susan mcbridge - completely agree!
There is value to documentation that has been lost in all of this
14:43:00 From David Vawdrey : An interesting topic for discussion is
how much the clinician/staff documentation burden can be diminished
and how much the comprehensiveness and quality of data can be improved
if we can come up with effective ways for patients to self-document
things like demographics, social determinants, symptoms, family
history, etc.
14:43:07 From Jigar Patel, MD (KC, WHQ 2850) : +1 Patricia
14:43:11 From Susan McBride : our attention should be on what
determines quality of documentation you don't have that radial
```

option :)

- 14:43:22 From Michael Brody: we need to make sure the EHR is a tool we can use reliably to make medical decisions. that is the most important thing we can do.
- 14:43:28 From Matt Sakumoto (UCSF): @Patty agree 100%. I guarantee you 14 point ROS will continue to be documented, despite regulatory changes
- 14:43:31 From Andrea Pitkus: +1
- 14:43:46 From Francis Chan : Sounds like a fund-raiser
- 14:43:59 From Rebecca Freeman: Totally agree with Patti. Sometimes, even the regulatory experts at a given hospital aren't necessarily correct in their interpretation of regs. At smaller hospitals, the job of interpreting regulations often falls to someone who has 5 other jobs....
- 14:44:01 From Pete Stetson: In oncology, the biggest challenge is documenting extractable disease status/progression of disease/ treatment response. Have to make it easier to document that critically important stuff.
- 14:44:01 From Helen Burstin : Completely agree @Michael
- 14:44:05 From Bill Tierney: Poll 2: none of the above. We should stop treating the EHR as the electronic version of the paper chart --> utilize the ability to manage data so clinicians only add their unique value.
- 14:44:07 From Kelly Resco-Summers, DNP, RN [AWS]: @david >> in the 90's pre-EHR we were having patients contribute the their record (admission histories, problem lists, goals, priorities)— and we took most all of that away with EHRs ....crazy.
- 14:44:08 From Paula Wolski BWFH : Tough question as all have some impact
- 14:44:21 From Paul Fu, Jr.: I picked regulatory this time bc i think it's more easily moved
- 14:44:21 From Subha Airan-Javia: Is usability so low on this poll (#2) because it seems so hard to do? Hard to get EHR vendors to make a change?
- 14:44:29 From Richard Schreiber: @PattyDykes: if the burden of regulation/billing wasn't there, we wouldn't add to the burden by our self-imposed work. Remove the root cause!!
- 14:44:29 From Bonnie Adrian: there is not a clear division between quality measures vs accreditation. for example, stroke center of excellence data requirements are quality but we regard this
- certification as necessary so, it on par with regulatory for us.
- 14:44:31 From Carla Kovacs : Hard to hit the target when there are 6 divergent targets
- 14:44:32 From Randall Grout: I chose that we should focus on the self-regulated ones, because I agree with the comments on \*perceived\* external requirements of regulation and reimbursement, and how they translate into self-imposed criteria that are even more burdensome.
- 14:44:33 From Eugene Lucas : +1 Kelly
- 14:44:34 From Cindy Russell : @ Patti Dykes agree, we need to check to be sure if it is required.
- 14:44:34 From nantell: Doesn't Self imposed come from Education level

- 14:44:38 From Armentrout, Susan: Documentation request adding to the burden is often to support survey not necessarily to meet regulatory requirement therefore self imposed
- 14:44:43 From Lynda Hoeksema: When we use standards for interoperability (USCDI), I wonder how much of aligning those items will actually help with some of the other issues....
- 14:44:44 From Subha Airan-Javia : Agree with @David bates that we should look at different interfaces for documentation that can work with EHRs
- 14:44:56 From Jacqueline Shreibati: We need comprehensive education about documentation (doing less) during our medical school and restraining. We don't know how to use it.
- 14:45:00 From nantell : Nursing and Medical School
- 14:45:06 From Lane, Karen C. (ELS-HBE) : Clear regulatory/
- accreditation requirements would help remove barriers self imposed along the way. Time for a clean RESET!
- 14:45:10 From Holly Pollex: I think if we understand what we "should" document from a regulatory/accreditation requirements will help to see the self imposed burden
- 14:45:12 From Wm Dan Roberts : it's the difference between useable and useful: useful in the space of self-imposed criteria from someone else or some other entity
- 14:45:26 From Subha Airan-Javia: When we teach documentation in school, we need to make sure we teach about ELECTRONIC documentation. The system and its usability (lack thereof) directly affects how we document
- 14:45:30 From christinesinsky: Many burdens begin at the regulatory and payment policy level, but then exacerbated by hyper-interpretation at the institutional level.
- 14:45:37 From Lane, Karen C. (ELS-HBE) : @hollypollex-YES!
- 14:45:40 From Peter Smith: Under advanced payment models regulatory and quality and reimbursement becomes a single massive intermingled problem.
- 14:45:46 From jeff wall: it would be interesting to parse out physician from nursing responses in those polls ... I wonder how they might or might not differ...
- 14:45:53 From Randall Grout: In other words, reimbursement + regulatory are interpreted into self-imposed burdens, perhaps in the name of quality measurement.
- 14:45:58 From Carla Kovacs : and from a nursing perspective" If you didn't document it you did not do it philosophy
- 14:46:02 From Laura Fochtmann: The biggest problem with poor usability is all the workflow problems with excessive documentation. If you fix the other stuff first, then usability will be less of an
- issue and more easily fixed.
- 14:46:03 From Jeff Nielson: we need to work with joint commision
- 14:46:11 From Peter Smith : Don't forget the joint commission

- 14:46:11 From A. Gettinger : ONC doesn't require documentation. :)
- 14:46:15 From Kevin O'Bryan : I struggle a little bit with the
- categories, there are self imposed elements that the users think are reimbursement/regulatory requirement. Like we need to pull all labs in because some thinks it improved billing. So I would call that self imposed but my users think is billing requirements.
- 14:46:17 From Paul Fu, Jr. : TJC is not on the list
- 14:46:19 From Jacqueline Shreibati : ACGME
- 14:46:20 From Patty Sengstack: Self imposed: lack of education, misinterpretation of regulatory standards, over zealous risk managers, squeaky wheels, etc
- 14:46:22 From Kat Collard: to let nursing decide does not work. Nursing has a huge cognitive burden to see so many fields, they feel responsible to document on each one.
- 14:46:28 From Richard Schreiber : Poll 3: CMS, because the others follow
- 14:46:34 From Bonnie Adrian : +1 for legal as driver.
- 14:46:34 From Andrea Pitkus: need Other: specify.
- 14:46:45 From Cindy Russell : 1+ Patty Sengstack
- 14:46:53 From Adam Wright: Agree with Dick that if we get CMS to help, our other payers will follow.
- 14:46:55 From Vicky Tiase: +1 Carla that's the institutional/self-imposed, legal is also an issue
- 14:46:56 From christinesinsky: We address some regulatory myths here with the goal of reducing unnecessary burden from over-interpretation of regulation by local institutions. https://www.ama-assn.org/amaone/debunking-regulatory-myths
- 14:47:02 From Aimee Brecht-Doscher : The "we" would be different depending on whether we are talking about what \*I\* can do, vs what we can do together
- 14:47:02 From Susan Hull: There is no transparency or public reporting from TJC and lack of harmonization across TJC and CMS. CMS conditions of participation significant leverage
- 14:47:02 From Laura Fochtmann : Joint Commission definitely needs to be on the list!!!
- 14:47:05 From Peggy White: We have done a survey of nurses in Canada re: what can we eliminate that doesn't add value to practice. We had a list of items and they wanted to continue to collect everything on the list
- 14:47:09 From Dr. Larry Ozeran: Generally, everything documented for reasons other than clinical (care, coordination, etc.) should be considered "stupid stuff". There are exceptions to every broad statement like that, but it is generally true.
- 14:47:15 From Holly Pollex: I agree with the Joint Commission....we have added documentation burden based on "interpretations" of TJC citations
- 14:47:23 From Paul Fu, Jr. : +1 Susie!!!
- 14:47:28 From Michele Berg : I pick Epic to work with!
- 14:47:28 From Kevin O'Bryan : agree with TJC
- 14:47:29 From Andrea Pitkus: Would responses differ depending on role

```
too (MD, RN, PT, OT, etc.)
```

- 14:47:32 From Patricia Dykes: would be good to standardize documentation aimed at regulatory/acced requirements and have the agencies vet what is actually required
- 14:47:40 From Subha Airan-Javia : I think people feel hopeless about being able to make EHR vendors change
- 14:47:42 From Paula Wolski BWFH : +2 Patty agree risk has had a large influence.
- 14:47:45 From Howard Bregman : That's cause you said what we document, not how
- 14:47:49 From Kelly Resco-Summers, DNP, RN [AWS] : Its not the vendors that are the issue its the organizational decisions about how to configure the EHRs!
- 14:47:52 From Kat Collard: if Vendors would like us to move to "model" content then they need to absorb the responsibility.
- 14:47:56 From Michael Brody: It would be interesting to see if the answers can be broken down based upon care setting (outpatient / inpatient)
- 14:47:58 From Richard Schreiber : +1 Larry
- 14:48:00 From Vicky Tiase: ++ Kelly!!
- 14:48:03 From Marie Brown : I am not surprised the her is not the solution as most clinicians have given up on that front
- 14:48:03 From Carla Kovacs : have to start with what do we need to document, not what we "think" we need to document
- 14:48:05 From Bonnie Adrian : I voted CMS bc TJC surveys for a number of CMS requirements.
- 14:48:09 From Jacqueline Shreibati: We can't learn best practices from other HCOs, unfortunately.
- 14:48:18 From Paul Fu, Jr. : +1 Kelly
- 14:48:19 From Julia Adler-Milstein: With recent E&M changes, we are learning how hard it is when CMS changes but commercial payers DON'T
- 14:48:30 From Laura Fochtmann: The whole Meaningful use/MACRA requirements made matters worse as well.
- 14:48:47 From Julia Adler-Milstein : So we need better approach for how commercial payers can follow CMS (or vice versa!)
- 14:48:47 From David Bates: For sure the rules are important, but we absolutely have to improve usability, and that is provider groups plus vendors
- 14:48:48 From Susan Hull: CMS CMMI over 89 experiments with care and payment model innovation which, if any have produced innovation in clinical documentation. Mary Greene, would value more from you on this
- 14:48:52 From Paul Fu, Jr. : +1 JAM
- 14:48:57 From Vicky Tiase : +1 Julia great point!
- 14:48:59 From llaking : Joint Commission
- 14:49:10 From Sherri Hess Banner Health: I am interested in interoperability and is key!
- 14:49:13 From Holly Pollex : Data flow is an issue
- 14:49:16 From Andrea Pitkus : same info is structured/modeled differently at times too
- 14:49:21 From Susan Hull: Interoperability how can we "fly"

```
documentation across encounters, clinicians and settings
14:49:32 From christinesinsky: @JuliaAdler-Milstein. Check out this
debunking regulatory myth fact sheet that clarifies that private
payers need to follow CMS guidelines for 2021 E/M coding changes.
https://www.ama-assn.org/practice-management/cpt/are-commercial-
health-plans-required-adopt-revisions-em-codes
14:49:38 From Holly Pollex : where we have multiple discreet fields
that are identical
14:49:38 From Lynda Hoeksema : @ Mary Greene, that is why I voted for
standards and interoperability as a key in the process.
14:49:38 From Laura Fochtmann: Interoperability isn't the real
problem -- you can't trust what someone else has documented.
14:49:40 From Molly M : yes exactly—duplication! (and I also voted for
interop and EHRs)
14:49:43 From Carla Kovacs : TJC!!!!!
14:49:45 From Susan McBride : TJC follows a lot of CMA
14:49:46 From Susan Hull : TJC versus CMS — is a problem
14:49:46 From Aimee Brecht-Doscher : CMS is a bigger burden for us
14:49:47 From Susan McBride : CMS
14:49:47 From Pete Stetson : CMS >>>>> TJC
14:49:49 From David Bates : Interoperability is actually creating a
mess so far--as a doc you have to filter through a lot of things to
figure out which few you want to add
14:49:49 From Steph Hoelscher : I think it's both
14:49:50 From Kelly Resco-Summers, DNP, RN [AWS] : Interoperability
only addresses moving the data from one place to another >>> not what
needs to be documented, how, and in a means that "sharing it" is
worthwhile
14:49:50 From Cindy Russell : TJC follow CMS
14:49:52 From Sandy Cho (she/her/hers) : TJC is a vendor for CMS to go
survev
14:49:53 From Patty Sengstack : Its both TJC and CMS
14:49:55 From Jami : I am going to be the odd man out here but I think
Epic does a phenomenal job at creating an EHR that is very easy to use
when you use it in the way they intended it be used. What causes
issues is when organizations take it and change it to fit their needs.
We are in a new world with EHR documentation and paper documentation
guidelines are incorrectly applied to EHR. I think old school mindsets
actually hold us back.
14:49:56 From Michael Brody : TJC has no impact on documentation in
the outpatient environment.
14:50:01 From SC-Bob Stevens : Yes Thank you Mary and Molly
14:50:08 From Rebecca Freeman : I suspect nurses will tell you TJC
drives most of their inpatient documentation worry... [But I could be
wrong....]
14:50:13 From mburk : TJC has increased certifications that is
increasing the demand for specific requirements
14:50:18 From Cathy Turner: Have to take greater advantage of
automation, pulling in that data from instruments and monitors as well
as trusting each other's documentation as opposed to duplicating same.
```

- 14:50:25 From Laura Fochtmann: They are closely aligned. Much of what TJC requires relates to CMS requirements. TJC is it's own separate animal that adds greater burden and illogic
- separate animal that adds greater burden and illogic.
- 14:50:29 From Carla Kovacs : TJC has an impact on outpatient services that are connected to a hospital
- 14:50:34 From Helen Burstin: Is there a CMS v TJC split by MD v RN? Does TJC impose more burden on nursing documentation?
- 14:50:36 From Yalini Senathirajah: @Subha Sarah and I did once propose a consultation with vendors, to see what would foster change/adoption of better design; I'm still interested in what could be done for this.
- 14:50:39 From Holly Pollex : Agree...we should not document numbers that can be interfaced
- 14:50:39 From Kevin O'Bryan: The problem is that theres so much anxiety around TJC citations that organization do things that are very burdensome and there seem to be more TJC citations or citations by mock TJC then there are by CMS
- 14:50:43 From Susan Hull: I recently looked at TJC certification programs and surprised how many metrics are still chart attracted, in their transition from e-CQMS to dQMs
- 14:50:49 From Michele Berg : We are so TJC focused . We can lose services if we don't comply
- 14:51:01 From Peter Smith: part of the TJC problem is that as hospitals turn into HC systems, TJC doesn't adequately differentiate between inpatient and ambulatory care, leading to Kafkaesque absurdities
- 14:51:01 From Susan Hull: Oops, chart abstracted (not attracted) 14:51:18 From jeff wall: if organizations lose money due to poor electronic documentation, it will fall back to EHR vendors to ensure that the documentation is up to snuff to fulfill the billing and regulatory requirements of documentation, thus EHRs have become the defacto enforcement arm for compliance. Digital documentation follows the needs.
- 14:51:39 From Paul Fu, Jr. : @Peter yes
- 14:51:41 From Carla Kovacs : TJC surveys create a lot of knee jerk additions to documentation burden. If there is a finding, a requirement is added quickly and it piles up
- 14:51:43 From Susan Hull: Thank you Don Detmer for your vision and we all value the historical work you have done to lay this foundation. Fun to walk recently through some of your early publications here! 14:51:57 From Steph Hoelscher: @Don. ves need time to digest. Great
- 14:51:57 From Steph Hoelscher: @Don, yes need time to digest. Great day today
- 14:52:07 From Paul Fu, Jr. : @jeff +1
- 14:52:18 From Sandy Cho (she/her/hers): relationship between CMS and TJC
- https://www.jointcommission.org/-/media/tjc/idev-imports/topics-assets/facts-about-federal-deemed-status-and-state-recognition/federal\_deemed\_status\_12\_12\_181pdf.pdf
- 14:52:30 From Timothy Crimmins : This has been a brilliant start thank you!

```
14:52:36 From Francis Chan: Word cloud would be interesting...
14:52:37 From Ruth Schleyer: please make the chat log available also
- great session!! Many thanks!
14:52:51 From Chad Carroll : Are you sharing the 25X5 Zoom
background?
            :)
14:52:54 From Wylecia Wiggs Harris : Agree automation is important;
however, must also focus on issues such as lack of standardization for
business processes; operational issues, technical issues, workforce
implications; alignment and accuracy of vocabulary standards; data
integrity, privacy; and, trust and representation.
14:52:55 From Janice Kelly (AORN) : @Ruth Schleyer - Hello
14:52:56 From Michele Berg : What is the hashtag for twitter?
14:53:14 From Jessica Schwartz (she/her) : #25x5
14:53:15 From Steph Hoelscher: #25x5
14:53:18 From llaking: Every Joint Commission citation requires long
term ongoing monitoring and data collection— even when it does not
impact improved patient care/safety
14:53:28 From Ruth Schleyer: @janice!! Hello
14:53:31 From Kiron Nair : Thank you for organizing this high value
session with wonderful panelists.
14:53:39 From nantell : Awesome presentations and discussions !
14:54:00 From Amanda Moy (she/her) : @Chad Caroll I can share the
background if you're interested!
14:54:00 From Stephen Essenburg : I'm so glad the cancel notice was in
error! This is an exciting journey we are on!
14:54:12 From Peggy White : Great start - interesting dicussions
14:54:24 From Susan Hull : Will next session include #digitalhealth
innovations for data entry/capture/synthesis including NLP
14:54:29 From christinesinsky: I especially appreciate the time
management of the organizers. Well done! Thank you.
14:54:59 From Pete Stetson : Outstanding start. So important.
14:55:51 From Chad Carroll : @Amanda Please! I like it
14:56:14 From Francis Chan: Listen to the "tape"!
14:56:18 From Susan Hull : Thank you for fantastic momentum and the
cadence for our collaborative interactive work!
14:56:26 From Lori Best-Arizona : Thank you for a wonderful kick off
meetina.
14:56:28 From Steph Hoelscher : We're ready! Bring on the homework!
14:56:39 From Laura Fochtmann : Re: CMS and TJC, every psych facility
I know routinely gets cited for interdisplinary treatment plans.
There is no agreement on what they need to include. They have no
documented value. They are totally duplicative and should be
eliminated. https://www.nabh.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/The-High-
Cost-of-Compliance.pdf
14:56:42 From Bill Tierney: Very nice start. Well organized!
14:56:43 From nantell : I agree Susan Hull about Innovation
14:56:44 From Vicky Tiase : TY all - excellent start!!
14:56:59 From Holly Pollex : Thank you!
14:57:06 From Patricia Dykes : Fantastic session and extremely well
coordinated!!!
```

- 14:57:13 From Deb Peter: Excited and feeling positive that there will be future improvements. Thank you!!
- 14:57:15 From Helen Burstin : Great launch! CMSS delighted to be collaborator on this effort!
- 14:57:15 From Cindy Russell : Great start.. looking forward to the next sessions
- 14:57:16 From David Vawdrey: Great discussion—thanks everyone!
- 14:57:16 From Dr. Larry Ozeran : Thanks All
- 14:57:18 From Francis Chan : Thank you everyone!
- 14:57:19 From Terry Malec : Good job!!
- 14:57:21 From Paula Wolski BWFH : Thank you!
- 14:57:25 From Lorraine Possanza : thank you
- 14:57:34 From Paul Fu, Jr. : ty!
- 14:57:55 From Laura Fochtmann : Excellent session!